

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION No. 5526 OF 2011**

**ORDER:**

The petitioners claim that they are all appointed initially on a consolidated pay basis as trained graduate teachers in various residential educational institutions run and operated by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent society. Pursuant to a policy decision, their services have since been regularized and they have been treated as regular employees. However, no *inter se* seniority list has been drawn or communicated to them so far. In the absence of any *inter se* seniority list being prepared and communicated to them, they are not in a position to know as to their comparative seniority position and as to whether they will be falling within the zone of consideration for promotion to the next higher posts or not. Hence, they seem to have submitted a detailed representation on 17.09.2009.

Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent society would submit that for the present, without going into the tenability of the claims raised by the writ petitioners, if a direction is issued for disposing of the said representation, perhaps, it would provide the necessary relief to the petitioners, as the respondents will be determining the principles for fixation of *inter se* seniority and will be communicating their decision in that regard to the petitioners.

Taking the suggestion of the learned Standing Counsel for the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, I consider it appropriate to direct the respondents to take into

account and consideration the representation submitted by some of the teachers, who are petitioners herein, on 17.09.2009 and dispose it of on merits, as expeditiously as possible, preferably before 30.06.2011 and communicate the same to the writ petitioners or any of their representatives or to the address of the learned counsel, who appeared for them in this Writ Petition.

With this, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

All other contentions canvassed in the Writ Petition, it goes without saying, are kept open.

-----  
**(NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO, J)**

7<sup>th</sup> March 2011

Ksld

\*\*\*\*\*

<b>WP 5526 / 2011</b>		<b>WPSR 29036 / 2011 CASE IS:DISPOSED</b>	
<b>PETITIONER</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>	
<b>M. KRUPAKAR, R.R. DIST &amp; 24 OTHERS</b>		<b>VS PRL SECY, SOCIAL WELFARE DEPT, HYD &amp; ANOTHER</b>	
<b>PET.ADV. : RAVI MOHAN</b>		<b>RESP.ADV. : GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE</b>	
<b>SUBJECT: SERVICE MATTERS</b>		<b>DISTRICT: HYDERABAD</b>	
<b>FILING DATE: 04-03-2011</b>	<b>POSTING STAGE : ADMISSION (SOCIAL WELFARE)</b>	<b>DISPOSED ON : 07-03-2011 DONC</b>	
<b>REG. DATE : 04-03-2011</b>	<b>LISTING DATE : 07-03-2011</b>	<b>STATUS : -----</b>	
<b>HON'BLE JUDGE(S):</b>		<b>NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO</b>	